

BlueGene Consortium

Hardware Breakout Slides

27 April 2004

Contains:

1. Questions for the breakout
2. Summary of the breakout for the entire group

Hardware Breakout Goals

- Configuration, Purchasing and Support discussion
 - IBM-led discussion (see those slides)
- Consortium activities discussion on community-based:
 - Configuration
 - Purchasing
 - Installation
 - Ongoing management

Hardware Breakout Questions - Configs

- Does the proposed coordinated purchase model work?
 - If so, how many sites are interested in participating?
 - If not, what do we need to change?
- Of these sites, who can consider supporting:
 - Consortium application collaborators?
 - Development activities?
 - Testbed activities?
- Can we converge on common configurations?
 - Storage is the interesting part.

Hardware Breakout Questions - Community

- What can we as members of the consortium do to support each other in configuration, installation, and management?
 - The installation Swat Team
 - Newsgroup/ mailing list community for “level 0” support
 - Documentation archives
 - Meetings or shared activities of some types
 - Quarterly consortium meetings
 - Consortium-organized training

Hardware Breakout - whole group summary

- Configuration, Purchasing and Support discussion
 - IBM-led discussion
 - 1 rack config is:
 - \$2.4M if you go it alone and get a fully-loaded, supported system
 - \$2M “target” as part of a consortium-coordinated purchase
 - Minimum of 9 racks across coordinated purchases
 - Timeline: intent in Sep, PO in Nov, deliver in April
 - Level 1 support provided by ANL as part of consortium
- Consortium activities and coordination in systems installation and management discussion.

Hardware Breakout summary - funding

- Funding
 - \$2M price point is a high reach
 - Will require grant-based funding.
 - The timeframe of Sep / Nov is hard to align with grant funding.
 - \$1M potential price point is more feasible
 - At universities, this can be done with faculty-based support.
 - Would require a strong apps-based argument for BG/L value.
 - Waiting for 6m after purchase makes this difficult.
 - Will be competing against departmental and centralized clusters.
 - Incremental add-on is a feature clusters have that helps them.
 - Small price point is of broad interest
 - 1/2 rack may not be small enough (see above)
 - Lots of interest in a 64-node workstation for universities and labs, could be used for experiments, porting, demonstrating value, building community...
 - 12 sites expressed interest in pursuing a BG/L installation, but all agreed with the above concerns.
 - Sites would want to install the hardware at their site.

Hardware Breakout summary - management

- (Not much time put into this part of the discussion.)
- Basic plans of having a community of systems administrators make sense
 - Email, web site and community archives
 - Teams of admins from across the sites to assist with new installs, debugging.
- Concerns about:
 - Level of required commitment by sites
 - Consortium involvement would be participation in mailing lists, some meetings, and possibly some small amount of the Level 1 support.
 - FTEs necessary to manage a system
 - LLNL is planning on having one dedicated FTE for the 64-rack system (but builds on existing staff in operations, storage, etc)
 - 1 FTE, or less (depending on user community) likely for 1-rack systems
 - Expect some fairly substantial spin-up required, however

Hardware Breakout - Next Steps

- Actions:
 - IBM: to consider alternative models, perhaps at different price points.
 - The community: to get creative, stay optimistic.
- Next steps
 - Once other options become feasible (6-8 weeks, perhaps), organize a conference call for consortium sites that are interested in pursuing a purchase.
 - Build community of sysadmins once hardware installations become settled.